**Athletic Training Certificate**

**Advisory Committee Meeting**

**May 15, 2018**

**Minutes**

1. **Introductions**

* Phil Roberts called meeting to order at 1:36

In attendance: Phil Roberts, Jeff Moser, Annette Henry, Siraaj Muhammed, Carey Balderama, Elaine Martinez

* Carey Provided Handouts for local certificates (SBCC, Citrus, Mt. Sac, Riverside)

1. **Discussion**
   1. **Apprehension due to licensing versus certificate in profession**

Carey opened the discussion with concerns about the future of the certificate, understands the pros and possible cons of the certificate program. Expressed how it is difficult to anticipate how the degree change will affect the undergrad level for athletic trainings.

Annette expressed the value of the certificate in terms of prep for students considering athletic training as a career.

Carey agreed with Annette’s points.

Denise agreed with Carey that we do not know how the degree change in athletic training will change the future of the certificate.

Continued discussion on the changing of the degree.

Jeff Moser added his thoughts on the certificate. He expressed how often certificates are designed without matching the purpose of the certificate. He mentioned that he does not advise students to take our courses if students want to transfer and our courses do not articulate. He mentioned the requirements for San Diego State program and how our courses might align.

So some of our courses do help students transfer so we may want to consider that before possibly eliminating them.

Jeff also discussed CSULB requirements for the athletic training program.

He said we need to consider what we want to do for our students. He also mentioned how some CC’s offer degree options instead of certificates. He mentioned College of the Sequoias and mentioned that he hopes they articulate to 4 years.

Discussion on the requirement of hours for undergrad athletic training classes and how that can benefit students was discussed by Elaine.

Jeff agreed how our courses do have value for this purpose and was unaware of the requirement of hours to apply to some athletic training programs.

* 1. **Review the Athletic Training Certificate Courses/Requirements**

Everyone reviewed the current requirements on the Athletic Training certificate

* 1. **Outcomes: Future of the certificate**

Carey mentioned a name change could be more beneficial and accurate. Possibly “Athletic Trainer Aid”, “Sports medicine aid”, “Sports medicine pathway”, “sports medicine”.

Siraaj mentioned adding biomechanics to the certificate.

Annette mentioned that if the certificate goes over 17 units it changes the parameters.

Annette mentioned Perkins grant and the possible funding for the program.

Perkings grant should be researched and pursued moving forward.

PSR should reflect what needs will be in Perkins application.

Marketing could benefit the growth of the certificate.

* 1. **Upcoming Degree Changes in Athletic Training**

This was discussed in the opening discussion (a) regarding concerns about licensing/certification

* 1. **Neighboring Community College Certificate Names**

This was discussed when a mentioning of changing the certificate name

* 1. **AA Degree discussion**

This was brought up in the opening discussion (a), we reviewed college of sequoias degree at this time.

1. **Closing Statements**

Everyone agreed a name change would be beneficial to the certificate program

1. **Meeting adjourned 3:05pm**